



*Elizabeth Fawcett, PhD, LMFT
Chair*

*Carol Messmore, PhD, LMFT
Chair-Elect*

*Blake Berryhill, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Christian Jordal, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Lee Johnson, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Daniel Lord, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Aaron Norton, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*David Van Dyke, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Yulia Watters, PhD, LMFT
Commissioner*

*Nancy Shankle, PhD
Public Member*

*Michael Westerfield, PhD
Public Member*

May 17, 2021

Dr. Andrea Wittenborn
Marriage and Family Therapy Program
Michigan State University (PhD)

Dear Dr. Wittenborn:

The Commission on Accreditation for Marriage and Family Therapy Education (COAMFTE), at its April 23-24, 2021 meeting, reviewed the Marriage and Family Therapy (PhD) program at Michigan State University for Renewal of Accreditation. This review included consideration of the program's Eligibility Criteria, Self-Study, COAMFTE's Self-Study Review Letter, Program's Additional Information, Site Visit Report, Program's Response to Site Visit Report, and any additional materials submitted by the program.

The Commission voted to grant Renewal of Accreditation for a period of seven (7) years, May 1, 2021 - May 1, 2028 with **Stipulations** on the following Key Elements:

Key Element III-E
Key Element III-F

The Commission's review of the program's Renewal of Accreditation materials is below:

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Commission's Response:

The program provided sufficient information to meet all the Eligibility Criteria.

STANDARD I: OUTCOME-BASED EDUCATION

Key Element I-A: Outcome-Based Education Framework

The program has an overall outcome-based education framework that includes the following:

- *A description of the program's mission, and how it fits with the larger institutional setting of the program.*
- *Specific program goals (which describe broad aspirations for the program and for students/graduates of the program) are clearly derived from the program's mission and that*

promote the development of Marriage and Family Therapists (including knowledge, practice, diversity, research, and ethics competencies).

- *Measurable Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) for each program goal.*
- *Programs must include SLOs that measure student/graduate achievement appropriate to the program's mission and goals.*
- *Specific assessment measures for operationalizing the achievement of Student Learning Outcomes (including student/graduate achievement) including targets and benchmarks. Measurement includes assessment of students' academic and professional competencies by the faculty and others, appropriate to the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of a mission that aligns with the larger institutional mission and goals. The program's goals align with its mission and promote the development of MFT competencies. Student Learning Outcomes align with the program's mission and goals and are appropriately operationalized by measurable targets and benchmarks.

Key Element I-B: Assessment Plan with Mechanisms and Timeline

The program has an overall assessment plan that includes:

- *Mechanisms in place for evaluating/reviewing the Student Learning Outcomes, including student/graduate achievements (utilizing specific measures identified in I-A).*
- *Mechanisms in place for evaluating student support services; curriculum and teaching/learning practices; fiscal and physical resources; technological resources; and instructional and clinical resources to determine sufficiency for attainment of targeted program outcomes.*
- *An assessment plan and corresponding timeline that addresses when, from whom, and how data is collected, and a description of how data will be aggregated and analyzed and the findings used for program improvement (feedback loop). The assessment plan should include a specific description of how the program will review and revise, as needed, their overall outcome-based education framework and assessment plan.*
- *The assessment plan must incorporate feedback from Communities of Interest (as defined in Key Element I-C).*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of an assessment plan, including a timeline for data collection and analysis. The program provided evidence of an assessment plan that incorporates feedback from Communities of Interest about Student Learning Outcomes, student/graduate achievement data, student support services, curriculum and teaching practices, resources, and sufficiency of services and resources.

Key Element I-C: Communities of Interest

The program identifies its Communities of Interest, obtains formal and informal feedback from them, and describes how they inform the program's mission, goals, and Student Learning Outcomes.

Communities of Interest vary according to the program's mission, goals, and outcomes and may include, but are not limited to, students, administrators, faculty, supervisors, consumers, graduates, potential employers, germane regulatory bodies, germane private and public funding sources, and diverse, marginalized, and/or underserved groups within these communities.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of processes for obtaining formal and informal feedback from Communities of Interest.

STANDARD II: COMMITMENT TO DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION

Key Element II-A: Multiculturally-informed Education Approach

The program has a multiculturally-informed educational approach that includes:

- 1) specific program goals with specific Student Learning Outcomes reflecting a commitment to diversity and inclusion;*
- 2) an overarching definition of diversity; and*
- 3) curriculum elements with accompanying teaching/learning practices consistent with the program's mission. The educational approach includes the teaching of ideas and professional practices for MFTs that address a range of diversity, including (but not limited to) race, age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, socioeconomic status, disability, health status, religious, spiritual, and/or political beliefs, nation of origin or other relevant social categories, immigration or language.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of a multi-culturally informed approach to education and training.

Key Element II-B: Program Climate of Safety, Respect, and Appreciation

- The program has demonstrated systematic efforts and has an ongoing comprehensive strategy in place to attract and retain a diverse student body, faculty, and supervisors.*
- The program demonstrates a climate of safety, respect, and appreciation for all learners including those from diverse, marginalized, and/or underserved communities, and has mechanisms in place for evaluating the climate and responding to any feedback regarding the climate.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of ongoing strategies to attract and retain a diverse student body, faculty, and supervisors. The program also provided evidence of a climate of safety, respect, and appreciation for all learners.

Key Element II-C: Experience with Diverse, Marginalized, and/or Underserved Communities

The program demonstrates student experience in Couple or Marriage and Family Therapy practice with diverse, marginalized, and/or underserved communities. Experiences may include:

- 1) professional activities (such as therapy, research, supervision, consultation, teaching, etc.) with diverse, marginalized, and/or underserved communities; and/or*
- 2) other types of activities (such as projects, service, interviews, workshops, etc.), as long as the program can demonstrate that the experience is directly related to MFT activities, and students are in interaction with members of these communities.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of professional activity in the form of direct therapy with clients representing diverse populations.

STANDARD III: INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORTS

Key Element III-A: Fiscal and Physical Resources

The program demonstrates that fiscal and physical resources are sufficient to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. These resources are reviewed, revised as needed, and support program effectiveness.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of criteria for determining sufficiency of fiscal and physical resources. The program also provided evidence of sufficiency and a process of review of these resources.

Key Element III-B: Technological Resources

The program demonstrates that technological resources (e.g., laptops, audio/visual equipment, EMRs and Billing Systems, Virtual Meeting Space) are secure, confidential, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant (if relevant), and sufficient to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. These resources are reviewed, revised as needed, and support program effectiveness.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of criteria for determining sufficiency of technological resources. The program also provided evidence of institutional security policies, technological sufficiency and a process of review of these resources.

Key Element III-C: Instructional and Clinical Resources

The program demonstrates that instructional and clinical resources (e.g., space, personnel, supplies) are sufficient to enable the program to meet the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. These resources are reviewed, revised as needed, and support program effectiveness.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of criteria for determining sufficiency of instructional and clinical resources. The program also provided evidence of sufficiency and a process of review of these resources.

Key Element III-D: Academic Resources and Student Support Services

The program demonstrates that academic resources (e.g., library, advising, writing centers) and student support services (e.g., access to counseling, financial advising) are accessible to students and sufficient to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. These resources are reviewed based on core faculty and student input, and the program takes action or advocates for institutional change to address areas required for program effectiveness.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of criteria for determining sufficiency of academic resources and student services. The program provided evidence of sufficiency, processes of review, and advocacy related to these resources.

Key Element III-E: Faculty Qualifications & Responsibilities

The faculty roles, in teaching, scholarship, service, and practice are identified clearly and are congruent with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

- *The faculty members are academically, professionally, and experientially qualified to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. The qualifications must be identified in documented descriptions of roles and responsibilities. Faculty members must have documented expertise in their area(s) of teaching responsibility and knowledge of the content delivery method (e.g., distance learning).*
- *The program must demonstrate that it has mechanisms for reviewing and evaluating faculty effectiveness in support of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. Faculty evaluations include explicit links to the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*

Commission's Response:

The program does not meet the requirements of this Key Element. The program demonstrated that faculty are academically, professionally, and experientially qualified to support the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. The program provided evidence of ways that faculty contribute to the profession. The program provided data from the March student-only meeting and aggregate instructor evaluation data for each course in which a Student Learning Outcome is addressed. The program provided a rubric demonstrating that HDFS faculty are evaluated annually on their service, leadership, and outreach, but it was not clear to reviewers how each of those areas align with specific program goals and student learning outcomes. The program needs to outline clear connections between the formal faculty evaluations used and specific program goals and outcomes.

Key Element III-F: Faculty Sufficiency

The faculty must be sufficient in number with a faculty-student ratio that permits the achievement of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes and ensures that student educational needs are met. These resources are reviewed, revised as needed, and support program effectiveness.

- *The program must have sufficient core faculty members who are knowledgeable and involved in ongoing program development, delivery, and evaluation required to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*
- *The program must have a stated process for evaluation of ongoing sufficiency of faculty resources.*
- *The program must demonstrate there are sufficient faculty and effective linking mechanisms with feedback loops, such as regular coordination, meetings, and/or communication, to connect and involve all faculty members in the achievement of expected and actual Student Learning Outcomes of the program.*
- *The program is permitted to use a combination of full-time, part-time and/or multiple adjuncts.*

Commission's Response:

The program does not meet the requirements of this Key Element. The program indicated a process whereby faculty sufficiency is defined and assessed, as well as the beginnings of a process to address the number of faculty, which were identified by the program's own assessments as insufficient. The program needs to provide evidence of completing the process to meet the standard of having sufficient faculty for the program.

Key Element III-G: Governance of Program

Roles of faculty and student participation in the governance of the program are clearly defined and enable the program to meet the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

The program must describe decision-making processes and procedures at the program and institutional levels regarding the operation of the program that support program effectiveness.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of faculty and student participation in the governance of the program and how those roles contribute to the achievement of program goals and outcomes. The program also provided evidence of decision-making processes that support program effectiveness at the program and institutional levels.

Key Element III-H: Supervisor Qualifications & Responsibilities

Supervisors must be AAMFT Approved Supervisors or meet the supervisor equivalency definition in the glossary. Supervisor roles, as distinguished from teaching faculty, are identified clearly and are congruent with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

- *Supervisors are academically, professionally, and experientially qualified to achieve the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. The qualifications must be identified in documented descriptions of roles and responsibilities.*

- *If supervisor equivalency is used, there must be full disclosure to students in order for them to make informed decisions and evaluate regulatory implications for other states/provinces/locations.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program demonstrated that supervisor roles are distinct from the roles of teaching faculty, and that supervisors are academically, professionally, and experientially qualified to achieve the program's mission, goals and outcomes.

Key Element III-I: Supervisor Sufficiency

Supervisors must be sufficient in number with a supervisor-student ratio that permits the achievement of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes, especially Student Learning Outcomes. Supervisory resources are reviewed, revised as needed, and support program effectiveness.

- *The program must have a stated process for evaluation of ongoing sufficiency of supervisor resources.*
- *The program must demonstrate there are sufficient and effective linking mechanisms with feedback loops, such as regular coordination, meetings, and/or communication, connecting and involving all supervisors in the achievement of expected and actual achievement of Student Learning Outcomes within the program.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of a process for evaluating supervisor sufficiency and feedback loops to involve supervisors in the achievement of Student Learning Outcomes.

STANDARD IV: CURRICULUM

Key Element IV-A: Curriculum and Teaching/Learning Practices

The program must provide:

- *A description of the logical sequencing of the curriculum and practice components, including rationale for how the program's goals and accompanying Student Learning Outcomes fit within the program offered (e.g., where goals and outcomes are addressed and assessed within the curriculum).*
- *A description of key teaching/learning practices used to accomplish program goals, and Student Learning Outcomes.*
- *A description of processes and procedures to ensure and monitor student progress and completion of requirements.*
- *A description of governance processes and procedures for designing, approving, implementing, reviewing, and changing the curriculum.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of logical sequencing of courses, governance processes, and processes used to monitor student progress. The program demonstrated alignment of Student Learning Outcomes to courses and to key teaching/learning practices.

Key Element IV-B: Foundational and Advanced Curricula

Foundational Curriculum

The foundational curriculum covers the knowledge and skill required to practice as a MFT by covering the Foundational Curricular Areas below.

- *Master's degree program must demonstrate that they offer course work that covers all the FCAs that make up the foundational curriculum.*
- *Doctoral degree programs must demonstrate that they offer course work and/or that students have completed course work, in all the areas contained in the foundational curriculum or that students demonstrate competence in those areas.*
- *Post-degree programs must demonstrate that they offer course work and/or that students have completed course work in all the areas contained in the foundational curriculum, or that students demonstrate competence in those areas.*
- *Programs may combine more than one of these foundational curriculum areas into a single course, as they build their curriculum in ways that are congruent with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*
- *Programs may emphasize some of the areas more than others and include other areas that are consistent with their program's mission, goals and outcomes. Programs may include another layer of requirements based on a specialization or emphasis (e.g., faith-based orientation, licensure laws, specialized certification, and so on) as long as there is a clear rationale and relational/systemic philosophy in the majority of the program.*
- *Minimum semester/quarter credits or equivalent clock hours are established for the first seven curricular areas. Programs may choose what combination of additional area 1 through 7 semester/quarter credits or equivalent clock hours beyond the individual area minimums will be taught consistent with their program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*
- *Programs must require students to develop and/or present an integrative/capstone experience before completion of their degree program as part of the foundational curriculum below. Programs must decide how to meet this requirement in keeping with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. Examples include: a theory of change/therapy theory presentation/paper, a thesis, a therapy portfolio, or a capstone course.*

FCA 1: Foundations of Relational/Systemic Practice, Theories & Models (Minimum of 6 semester credits/8 quarter credits/90 clock hours)

This area facilitates students developing competencies in the foundations and critical epistemological issues of MFTs. It includes the historical development of the relational/systemic perspective and contemporary conceptual foundations of MFTs, and early and contemporary models of MFT, including evidence-based practice and the biopsychosocial perspective.

FCA 2: Clinical Treatment with Individuals, Couples and Families (Minimum of 6 Credits/8 quarter credits/90 clock hours)

This area facilitates students developing competencies in treatment approaches specifically designed for use with a wide range of diverse individuals, couples, and families, including sex therapy, same-sex couples, working with young children, adolescents and elderly, interfaith couples, and includes a focus on evidence-based practice. Programs must include content on crisis intervention.

FCA 3: Diverse, Multicultural and/or Underserved Communities (Minimum of 3 Credits/4 quarter credits/45 clock hours)

This area facilitates students developing competencies in understanding and applying knowledge of diversity, power, privilege and oppression as these relate to race, age, gender, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, socioeconomic status, disability, health status, religious, spiritual and/or beliefs, nation of origin or other relevant social categories throughout the curriculum. It includes practice with diverse, international, multicultural, marginalized, and/or underserved communities, including developing competencies in working with sexual and gender minorities and their families as well as anti-racist practices.

FCA 4: Research & Evaluation (Minimum of 3 Credits/4 quarter credits/45 clock hours)

This area facilitates students developing competencies in MFT research and evaluation methods, and in evidence-based practice, including becoming an informed consumer of couple, marriage, and family therapy research. If the program's mission, goals, and outcomes include preparing students for doctoral degree programs, the program must include an increased emphasis on research.

FCA 5: Professional Identity, Law, Ethics & Social Responsibility (Minimum of 3 Credits/4 quarter credits/45 clock hours)

This area addresses the development of a MFT Identity and socialization, and facilitates students developing competencies in ethics in MFT practice, including understanding and applying the AAMFT Code of Ethics and understanding legal responsibilities.

FCA 6: Biopsychosocial Health & Development Across the Life Span (Minimum of 3 Credits/4 quarter credits/45 clock hours)

This area addresses individual and family development, human sexuality, and biopsychosocial health across the lifespan.

FCA 7: Systemic/Relational Assessment & Mental Health Diagnosis and Treatment (Minimum of 3 Credits/4 quarter credits/45 clock hours)

This area facilitates students developing competencies in traditional psycho-diagnostic categories, psychopharmacology, the assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of major mental health issues as well as a wide variety of common presenting problems including addiction, suicide, trauma, abuse, intra-familial violence, and therapy for individuals, couples, and families managing acute chronic medical conditions, utilizing a relational/systemic philosophy.

The following areas must be covered in the curriculum in some way, though there are no minimum credit requirements.

FCA 8: Contemporary Issues

This area facilitates students developing competencies in emerging and evolving contemporary challenges, problems, and/or recent developments at the interface of Couple or Marriage and Family Therapy knowledge and practice, and the broader local, regional, and global context. This includes such issues as immigration, technology, same-sex marriage, violence in schools, etc. These issues are to reflect the context of the program and the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. Programs are encouraged to innovate in this Foundational Curricular Area.

FCA 9: Community Intersections & Collaboration

This area facilitates students developing competencies in practice within defined contexts (e.g., healthcare settings, schools, military settings, private practice) and/or nontraditional MFT professional practice using therapeutic competencies congruent with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes (e.g., community advocacy, psycho-educational groups). It also addresses developing competency in multidisciplinary collaboration.

Advanced Curriculum

The advanced curriculum advances knowledge and skill by addressing the curricular areas below.

- *Doctoral degree programs demonstrate that they offer course work in all the Advanced Curricular Areas (ACA) that make up the advanced curriculum.*
- *Post-degree programs may offer components of the advanced curriculum.*
- *Within each area, the balance of skills and competencies developed should be appropriate to the program's mission, goals, and outcomes as well as the program's local context.*
- *Programs may emphasize some of the areas more than others and include other areas that are consistent with their program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*

ACA 1: Advanced Research

This area facilitates students in developing competencies in: a) advanced research, including demonstrated proficiency in quantitative methods and analysis techniques, qualitative methods and analysis techniques, or mixed methods and analysis techniques appropriate to carrying out research in relationships; b) demonstrated working knowledge of other methodologies and analysis techniques outside of their proficiency area (e.g., if a student decides to become proficient in quantitative methods, s/he will have a working knowledge of qualitative methods as well); c) demonstrated understanding of the theoretical complexity of change within relationships and how this complexity informs research; d) understanding and demonstrated sensitivity to and awareness of how issues of diversity in terms of culture, gender, sexual orientation, age, SES, etc. play a role in their choice of research topics and their conduct of research activities; and e) students should have opportunities to participate in grants and grant-writing activities, and in the publication and presentation of research material.

ACA 2: Advanced Relational/Systemic Clinical Theory

This area facilitates students developing advanced clinical competencies including: a) demonstrating an advanced understanding and application of multiple family and couple models and empirically-supported interventions; b) skill in working with diverse populations across the lifespan through direct

clinical work or in supervision of the therapy of others; c) demonstration of an awareness of cultural issues, differences, and personal blind spots in their clinical and supervisory work; and d) development of a specialized clinical area that is grounded in research and is at an advanced level of intervention and understanding.

ACA 3: Advanced Relational/Systemic Applications to Contemporary Challenges

This area facilitates the development of leading-edge professionals who develop relational/systemic innovations. This includes application to controversial moral and advanced ethical dilemmas, international, cross-cultural, and multicultural issues in Couple or Marriage and Family Therapy professional roles, responsibilities, practices, and applications to other contemporary problems. This area also includes a focus on family policy and/or family law.

ACA 4: Foundations of Relational/Systemic Teaching, Supervision, Consultation, and/or Leadership

This area facilitates the development of competencies in relational/systemic teaching, supervision, and/or MFT consultation. This may include educational/learning theories, relevant research, multicultural content, evaluation and assessment methods, ethics and professional issues, and personal philosophy. This area also addresses administrative competencies including program development and policy, leadership roles and evaluation of MFT educational and service-oriented institutions and agencies. Students who intend to teach at the higher education level will develop and apply a teaching philosophy, as well as demonstrate the capacity to develop and apply course evaluation methods and Student Learning Outcomes. All students will demonstrate skills in clinical supervision. Students who have teaching opportunities in formal or informal settings will demonstrate a sensitivity to issues of diversity in the material they teach, to the persons they are teaching, and in the ways in which information and correction is provided.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of coursework in each Advanced Curricular Area, and a process for providing foundational content when needed.

Key Element IV-C: Foundational and Advanced Application Components

The program must demonstrate they offer an application component with appropriate placement in the curriculum, duration, focus, and intensity consistent with their program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

Advanced Practical Experience Component

- *Programs that teach the advanced curriculum must offer the advanced experience component.*
- *Areas include selected experiences consistent with the program's mission, goals, and outcomes in any of the following: advanced research, grant-writing, teaching, supervision, consultation, advanced clinical theory, clinical practice/innovation, program development, leadership, or policy. In addition, programs may offer experiences in presenting and professional writing.*
- *The program must demonstrate appropriate and adequate mentoring of students during the experience.*

- *The advanced experiences offered by doctoral degree programs must address a minimum of two of the areas noted above and combined be over a minimum of 9 months.*
- *The advanced experiences offered by post-graduate programs must address a minimum of one area and combined be over a minimum of 6 months.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of mentored internships consistent with the program's goals and outcomes in research, teaching, and clinical practice. The program provided evidence that the nine-month advanced experience is designed to include two formal experiences from a list consistent with the program's mission, goals and outcomes.

Key Element IV-D: Program and Regulatory Alignment

The program demonstrates that graduates have met educational and clinical practice requirements (e.g., coursework, clinical experience, and supervision) that satisfy the regulatory requirements for entry-level practice in the state, province, or location in which the program physically resides or in which the student intends to practice. Programs must also document that students are informed (e.g., demonstrate review of appropriate regulatory sites or licensing laws) about the educational, clinical, and regulatory requirements for entry-level practice in the state, province, or location in which each student resides or intends to practice.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided documentation that students are informed about regulatory requirements for entry-level practice in Michigan, and that the program satisfies these requirements.

Key Element IV-E: Curriculum/Practice Alignment with Communities of Interest

The program demonstrates that it considers the needs and expectations of identified Communities of Interest in developing and revising its curriculum and application component.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of considering the needs of and feedback from its communities of interest in the development and revision of curriculum.

STANDARD V: PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS AND IMPROVEMENT

Key Element V-A: Demonstrated Student/Graduate Achievement

The program provides aggregated data regularly collected on student/graduate achievement.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of a process for collecting graduate achievement data on a regular basis.

Key Element V-B: Demonstrated Achievement of Program Goals

The program describes how data was analyzed and provides aggregated data that demonstrates achievement of each program goal via data from measured Student Learning Outcomes, based on targets and benchmarks provided in the program's outcome-based education framework—data from Student Learning Outcomes demonstrate that the program is meeting program goals.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of aggregated data demonstrating the achievement of many Student Learning Outcomes. The following outcomes are identified as in process because all members of the 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, cohorts have not yet graduated.

Key Element V-C: Demonstrated Achievement of Faculty Effectiveness

The program must demonstrate faculty effectiveness in achieving the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

- *The program provides aggregated data that demonstrates the Program Director provides effective leadership for the program to achieve its program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*
- *The program provides aggregated data that demonstrates the performance and achievements of faculty that support attainment of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.*

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence that the Program Director provides effective leadership and that faculty professional achievements support the program's mission, goals and outcomes.

Key Element V-D: Demonstrated Program Improvement

The program demonstrates how evidence is used to maintain the achievement of Student Learning Outcomes and/or foster program improvement with plans for future improvement based on the evidence. Evidence includes but is not limited to findings regarding program goals and outcomes, student/graduate achievement, Communities of Interest, and evaluations (as described in the assessment plan) of curriculum and teaching/learning practices; fiscal and physical resources; technological resources; instructional and clinical resources; academic resources; and student support resources. Data should demonstrate that the program is meeting its goals and outcomes, especially specified targets and benchmarks and if not, what plans the program has for meeting or modifying its goals.

Commission's Response:

The program meets the requirements of this Key Element. The program provided evidence of improvement based on feedback about resources and outcomes from Communities of Interest. The program also provided evidence of a plan for addressing its deficient resource area.

NOTE: Consistent with the COAMFTE Corrective Action Policy (COAMFTE Accreditation Manual: Policies and Procedures, pp. 20-21), COAMFTE accredited programs carrying stipulations will have a maximum of two years from the date of stipulation to come into compliance with the standards. Year One will include Imposing of Stipulations; Year Two will include Probation and hosting a Focused Site Visit. Programs that fail to rectify such compliance issues will be subject to revocation of accreditation status at the beginning of Year Three.

Please note that the program is now in its Year 1 – Impose Stipulations stage. Consistent with the Corrective Action Policy, programs must submit a compliance report addressing deficiencies by the noted deadline, review accreditation materials, and consult with Accreditation Staff. Additionally, it is recommended that the program attend accreditation trainings and seek consultation from an external consultant (a list of consultants can be obtained from the Accreditation Office).

Programs may elect to submit their response to stipulations earlier, for an interim review, to clear their stipulations. The interim response can only be submitted during the first year of the Corrective Action Policy. Following the interim response, programs will continue to report on the established timeline. Programs interested in submitting an interim response should contact COAMFTE staff to confirm the submission deadline.

Program’s Response to Stipulations Instructions:

- 1) Program’s response should address all Key Elements that have Stipulations and include definition of all referenced Key Elements.
- 2) Program’s response should not refer to previously submitted documents (Eligibility Criteria, Self-Study, appendices, etc.).
 - *Programs may provide additional information as supporting evidence of the program’s response.*
- 3) Program’s Response to Stipulations and any supporting documentation must be in one document, in a PDF format with bookmarks linked to the individual components. The bookmarks MUST follow the order of the individual components. The PDF document must not exceed 30 MB in size.
- 4) Program’s Response to Stipulations must be submitted on or before the due date to coa@aamft.org.

The following documents must be submitted in the required format by the noted deadlines:

Document	Submission Deadline
Annual Report	July 31, 2021
<i>Interim Response to Stipulations (optional)</i>	
Response to Stipulations	January 31, 2022

In accordance with COAMFTE policy, the program will need to submit an Annual Report on July 31st of every year of your accreditation term.

Michigan State University (PhD)

Dr. Andrea Wittenborn

May 17, 2021

Page 15 of 15

Please feel free to contact the Accreditation Office by e-mail at coa@aamft.org or by phone at (703) 253-0448 if you have further questions or if you would like any additional information.

Sincerely,



Elizabeth Fawcett, PhD
COAMFTE Chair



Jill Fogolin
Director of Accreditation